

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)  
FCARC – 034**

**For Qualified Providers to Supply the  
Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the  
Lakeland Village Master Drainage Plan (MDP)**



**ADDENDUM NO. 1  
January 14, 2010**

**CERTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM**

I certify that I have received this addendum on behalf of the company listed below.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and title: \_\_\_\_\_

Company: \_\_\_\_\_

**ADDENDUM NO. 1**  
**TO RFP #FCARC-034**

**1.0** This addendum is considered to be part of the RFP. All other terms of the RFP remain unchanged and in effect. This addendum is intended to provide additional information and/or to change requirements in the above referenced RFP. Any information contained herein will be considered part of the RFP and as such will be used in the evaluation of the bid responses. Attention all potential bidders, if you submitted your proposal prior to the bid closing date, please review this addendum and re-submit your bid response regardless if this addendum modifies your initial bid response.

**2.0** To verify that all Vendors have received a copy of this addendum, please sign the cover page of this addendum and return it with your proposal to:

Riverside County Flood Control  
and Water Conservation District  
Attention: Annie Ortega  
RFP# FCARC-034  
1995 Market Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
Email: [annieortega@reflood.org](mailto:annieortega@reflood.org)

**3.0** The information in this addendum is primarily the result of the vendor inquiries and clarifications from the District.

**4.0 Questions from Bidders:**

**REGARDING RFP PROCESS**

4.1 Regarding the last day to submit questions for this RFP, Section 5 calls out a deadline of January 13, 2010 and Section 13 calls out a deadline of January 11, 2010. Which date is accurate?

*Answer: Wednesday, January 13, 2010 at 4:30 PM.*

4.2 Where, specifically, would you like us to place Exhibits A and C within the submittal?

*Answer: Exhibit A must be submitted in Section M, Subcontractors/Subconsultants (Refer to Section 9.0 of RFP).  
Exhibit C must be submitted in Section I, Project Schedule (Refer to Section 9.0 of RFP).*

4.3 If any engineering firm (with environmental expertise) submits a proposal on this RFP, do they exclude themselves from submitting a proposal on the subsequent engineering-related Master Drainage Plan RFPs?

*Answer: Engineering for the MDP will be completed by Riverside County Flood Control District. If the District advertises RFPs for other MDP projects, consultants will be allowed to submit a proposal.*

4.4 If a firm is a privately held company and it is not our standard practice to disseminate financial information, is it possible to submit the financial information upon contract award, or is it absolutely required for evaluation?

*Answer: If a firm is a privately held company, financials will not be required unless the firm is determined as most qualified or in the case of a tie, noted as a top three candidate.*

**REGARDING SCOPE OF WORK**

4.5 Will the meetings be monthly or bi-monthly?

*Answer: Meetings will be held every other month, or bi-monthly.*

4.6 Regarding Part II Cost Proposal for Project/Task Order (part of Exhibit E). This part asks that a separate Resource Allocation Table for each Alternative, 1 through 5, be provided (by primes and subconsultants). Can you provide clarification on how you want this shown? Can a firm submit a proposal with only the digital camera option, or is it necessary to provide pricing for both film and digital? The majority of the EIR will be focused on the Proposed Project and the remaining alternatives are discussed in the Alternatives chapter. The technical studies (e.g., air, noise) will analyze the MDP and alternatives. Do you want five (5) resource tables for prime and five (5) for each subconsultant?

*Answer: A correction has been made to the RFP affecting Exhibits C, D and E. The header of each exhibit requested that "Respondents shall provide a separate Proposed Work Plan for each Alternative, 1 through 5" has been corrected to "Respondents shall provide an accumulative Resource Allocation Table for all Alternatives 1 through 5".*

4.7 Have any technical studies been prepared for the Lakeland Village Master Drainage Plan such as hydrology/water quality, geotechnical/soils, hazardous materials, or any others?

*Answer: Hydrology studies have been prepared for the Lakeland Village Master Drainage Plan to support the sizing of proposed MDP facilities.*

4.8 Is the forthcoming EIR anticipated to evaluate all five (5) alternatives in the same manner as an EIS or would the District choose one of those alternatives and then the alternative section of the EIR will analyze the subsequent alternatives?

*Answer: The latter - the District will choose one of the alternatives and then the alternative section of the EIR will analyze the subsequent alternatives. Part of the solution to choosing an alternative from the Respondent's work results in a preferred project for the District. The Master Drainage Plan is a planning level document and not a project level document. NEPA analysis would only occur if there was a federal interest in the project.*

4.9 Is the District looking at a broad analysis at first to help narrow down and identify any constraints...and that would help to identify the other alternative?

*Answer: Yes.*

5.0 Is the District looking for a program EIR and not a master EIR?

*Answer: It is a tiered document and is being called the first tier EIR.*

5.1 Is there a project schedule for the MDP document; some type of a conceptual Plan? Does staff have a target as to when they wanted to have an actual plan selected?

*Answer: There are five (5) alternatives scoped out and all alternatives will be looked at as part of the review. Staff would like a plan selected as soon as possible and one of the rankings on the scoring is how soon a company can provide the document (project schedule).*

5.2 Is this a new MDP? Is there a funding source for the MDP facilities?

*Answer: The Lakeland Village MDP is a new MDP, although a couple of the facilities have been investigated before by the District and are included in a District benefit assessment. The geneses of this master plan came from concerns from the community regarding flooding problems, particularly around Grand Avenue. They would like to get the problems solved and the first step is to identify the problems and determine the best solution for them. EDA has contributed \$200,000 for the master plan.*

5.3 (Comment) In reference to question 5.2, the annual public hearings are to receive public input for the District to get an idea where the flooding issues are. District staff will do further evaluation on the input received.

*Answer: This is correct; it helps District staff be more effective in responses.*

5.4 Are there going to be any recovery funds used?

*Answer: None at this time.*

5.5 What does the District expect out of the EIR, are they anticipating fully that they will have to do subsequent environmental documentation?

*Answer: This is a high level document and you will have to address whatever is being proposed. Surveys will be conducted for specific animals and plants before the proposed projects are ready to be constructed.*

5.6 In Alternative 3 there is mention of conservation areas. Are those habitat conservation areas or Flood Control District conservation areas?

*Answer: Alternative 3, noted on page 6 of the RFP (Section 2.0) has been revised to read the following: "Includes the same drainage facilities proposed in Alternative 2, but also incorporates areas within the FEMA floodplain that will be purchased and set aside as open space as an alternate flood control measure".*

5.7 Are the five (5) alternatives posted in the proposal?

*Answer: No, the files are too large to post on the website or as a word document. The Alternatives are available by CD only.*

**ATTACHMENT II  
 Prebid Meeting Sign-In Sheet**



MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET	
Request for Proposal FCARC-034 For Qualified Providers to Supply the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Lakeland Village Master Drainage Plan (MDP)	
Project:	Request for Proposal FCARC-034 For Qualified Providers to Supply the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Lakeland Village Master Drainage Plan (MDP)
Facilitator:	Annie Ortega, Buyer
Meeting Date:	Monday, November 11, 2010 @ 2:00 PM
Place/Room:	Conference Room 1

PLEASE PROVIDE A BUSINESS CARD

Name	Title	Company	Phone	E-Mail
Alyce Evans	PM	PRS SJ	909-890-5951	LEEVANS@PRSJ.COM
Kent Norton	Dr. Envs Sys	The Planning Center	909-530-1380	knorton@planningcenter.com
Dennis Crade	Consultant	E2 manage Tech	562 740 1060	dcrade@e2manage.com
STERANIE NIX	Waterworks Mgr Env. Consultant	RFP Services Corp.	949-753-7001	S.NIX@RFPNET.COM
TIM WOODSEY	B.A. Manager	ULTRASYSTEMS CONSULTING	949-788-4900	TWOODSEY@ULTRASYSTEMS.COM
DARREN EDWINSTON	ENV. CONSULTANT	RFP CONSULTING	951 506 2003	DEDWINSTON@RFP.COM
Melissa Howe	Manager	Portera Consult	714-444-9199	mhowe@portera.com
Josh Taylor	Chief Planner	TRC	949-989-4409	jtaylor@trcsolutions.com
Alicia Karmani	Planner	PMC	888-453-3602	akarmani@pmworld.com
Kim Castriota	Planner	URS	909-985-4000	kimberly.castriota@urscorp.com



**MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET**

**Request for Proposal FCARC-034**  
 For Qualified Providers to Supply the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Lakeland Village Master Drainage Plan (MDP)

**Project:** For Qualified Providers to Supply the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Lakeland Village Master Drainage Plan (MDP)

**Facilitator:** Annie Ortega, Buyer

**Meeting Date:** Monday, November 11, 2010 @ 2:00 PM

**Place/Room:** Conference Room 1

**PLEASE PROVIDE A BUSINESS CARD**

Name	Title	Company	Phone	E-Mail
GYNTHIA GABARDOL	SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER	URS	909-980-9000	GYNTHIA-GABARDOL@URS-CORP.COM
Stephanie Standerfer	Senior Project Manager	Dudak	951-300-2100	standerfer@dudak.com
Arnon Gelik's	Senior Project manager	Dudak	760-672-2867	ageliks@dudak.com
Dennis Crable	Sale Proprietor	Crable + Associates	626-676-6993	arthur.crable@gchis.com
Bill Flores	Project Manager	HDR Engineering	951-320-7311	bill.flores@hdrinc.com
Ric Olalde	BD Manager	Kleinfolder	909-993-2691	Rolalde@Kleinfolder.com
Simon Said, GE	Principal Eng	Leighton Consulting	(951) 252 8013	ssaid@leightongroup.com
Jeb Morse	Principal	T+B Planning	714-505-6360	jmorse@TBlanning.com
Fredaie Olmos	Senior Environmental Analyst/PM	EORP Consulting, Inc.	(909) 307-0046	Folmos@ecorpconsulting.com
RAY HUSSEN	Senior Environmental Analyst/PM	USA ASSOCIATES, INC.	951-721-9310	RAY.HUSSEN@USA-ASSOC.COM

